THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GOPALA KRISHNA TAMADA

WRIT PETITION Nos.8838, 8846 and 8858 of 1999

Dated : 27.06.2007

WRIT PETITION No.8838 of 1999:

Between:

Shamlet Narasimha S/o Shamlet Yellaiah and others.

… **PETITIONERS**

And:

Hyderabad Urban Development Authority,
represented by its Chairman, Paigah Buildings,
Begumpet, Hyderabad and others.

….**RESPONDENTS**

WRIT PETITION No.8846 of 1999:

Between:

Bandaru Narayanaswamy S/o D.Kondapa and others.

… **PETITIONERS**

And:

Hyderabad Urban Development Authority,
represented by its Chairman, Paigah Buildings,
Begumpet, Hyderabad and others.

….**RESPONDENTS**

WRIT PETITION No.8858 of 1999:

Between:

M/s Rayadurg Cooperative House Building Society Limited,
having registration No.T.E.C. 13/72,
represented by its President M.GovindRao S/o late Narasimha.

… **PETITIONER**

And:

The Government of A.P., rep., by the Revenue Secretary,
Revenue Department, Secretariat Buildings, Saifabad,
Hyderabad and others.

….**RESPONDENTS**

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GOPALA KRISHNA TAMADA

WRIT PETITION Nos.8838, 8846 and 8858 of 1999

COMMON ORDER:

As the point involved in all these writ petitions is same, these writ petitions are being disposed of by this common order.

Petitioners filed these writ petitions seeking a direction to the Hyderabad Urban Development Authority and the Commissioner of Serilingampally Municipality to regularize the layouts, which were sanctioned by the Commissioner of Serilingampally Municipality, submitted by the petitioners in respect of the land in various survey numbers situated at Raidurg Panmaktha and Raaidurg Nowkhalasa of Serilingampally Municipality, and grant permission to the petitioners for construction of buildings in the said land.

When the writ petition is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners, Sri N.Narasing Rao, submitted that the suits filed by the petitioners before the competent Courts in respect of the subject matter of these writ petitions were dismissed and questioning the said judgments, petitioners preferred appeals and the same are pending.

In the light of the said submission as the subject matter of these writ petitions and the appeals are one and the same, this Court is of the view that the writ petitions are not maintainable.

Accordingly, the writ petitions are dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

27.06.2007

sh